

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,950	01/15/2001	Eiichi Uriu	YAMAP0347USD	5487
7590 07/14/2004			EXAM	INER
Thomas W. Adams			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
Renner, Otto, Boisselle, & Sklar, LLP 19th Floor 1621 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/760,950	URIU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TUYEN T NGUYEN	2832			
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	oril 2004				
· _ · · _ — ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7 and 28-39</u> is/are pending in th	ne application				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7 and 28-39</u> are subject to restr	iction and/or election requiremen	t.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
	priority under 35 H S C & 110(a)	or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	·	od III uno Italional olago			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.			
	·				
Attachmont/c)					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/760,950 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 28-32, drawn to a ceramic chip inductor, classified in class

336, subclass 200.

II. Claims 34-39, drawn to a greensheet, classified in class 205, subclass 78.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions [I] and [II] are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a

single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

separately usable. In the instant case, invention [I] has separate utility such as the inductor not

using the greensheet of invention [II]. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

figure 1, example 1;

Embodiment 2:

figure 7, example 2;

Embodiment 3:

figure 8, example 3;

Embodiment 4:

figure 9, example 4;

Embodiment 5:

figure 10, example 5;

Application/Control Number: 09/760,950

Art Unit: 2832

Embodiment 6;

example 6;

Embodiment 7:

figure 12, example 7;

Embodiment 8:

figure 12, example 18; and

Embodiment 9:

figure 18, example 9.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TTN TIN

Trugler Nguyen